Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,564	DE KONING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lawrence E. Crane	1623	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Response to non-final received		
(1) <u>Lawrence E. Crane</u> .	(3)		
(2) Richard F. Trecartin.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>19 November 2010</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Art rejection citing the '792 reference			
Claims discussed: all of record			
Prior art documents discussed: the '792 reference			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant and examiner, following reconsideration, agreed on the details of an examiner's amendment. Applicant was then informed that the case as finally amended was allowable and would be passed to issue.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Lawrence E. Crane/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if	appropriate)